**Clinical Review Criteria**

**Tinnitus Masking/Retraining Therapy**

**NOTICE:** Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington and Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington Options, Inc., provide these Clinical Review Criteria for internal use by their members and health care providers. The Clinical Review Criteria only apply to Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington and Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington Options, Inc. Use of the Clinical Review Criteria or any Kaiser Permanente entity name, logo, trade name, trademark, or service mark for marketing or publicity purposes, including on any website, or in any press release or promotional material, is strictly prohibited.

Kaiser Permanente Clinical Review Criteria are developed to assist in administering plan benefits. These criteria neither offer medical advice nor guarantee coverage. Kaiser Permanente reserves the exclusive right to modify, revoke, suspend or change any or all of these Review Criteria, at Kaiser Permanente's sole discretion, at any time, with or without notice. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the patient's Medical Coverage Agreement or call Kaiser Permanente Customer Service to determine coverage for a specific medical service.

**Criteria**

**For Medicare Members**
Codes for auditory assessment and rehabilitation are covered.

**For Non-Medicare Members**
There is insufficient evidence in the published medical literature to show that this service/therapy is as safe as standard services/therapies or provides better long-term outcomes than current standard services/therapies.

---

**Background**

Tinnitus is the perception of sound in the absence of an acoustic source (Luxon 1993). The perceived sound can vary from simple sounds such as whistling or humming to complex sounds such as music. Tinnitus may be perceived as a single sound or multiple sounds, unilateral or bilateral, within the head or outside the body, and intermittently or constantly. The American Tinnitus Association estimates that 50 million Americans have some degree of tinnitus with about 16 million of those experiencing significant enough symptoms to seek medical care and 2 million of them suffering so much that it ultimately interrupts normal day to day function. Tinnitus can occur at any age but its incidence increases by the age of 40 and peaks between 65 to 79 years (Hobson, Chisholm et al. 2012). The tinnitus experience is consistently higher among men and is strongly related to hearing loss but may be experienced by individuals with normal hearing as well. Acute tinnitus, which can last for days or weeks, may be caused by ear infection, medication, ear wax, exposure to excessive sound or changes in blood pressure. Chronic tinnitus, experienced by 10 to 15% of adults, persists for six or more months and may be caused by almost any disorder involving the outer, middle or inner ear, or the auditory nerve (Davis, Paki et al. 2007). In any case, tinnitus can be debilitating because it is difficult to describe, predict and manage and can lead to disruption of sleep, inability to concentrate, and depression.

Tinnitus is not a condition itself, rather, it is a symptom of an underlying condition and, therefore, management should include diagnosis and elimination of the factors precipitating tinnitus. In many cases, the cause of tinnitus cannot be identified warranting treatment of the symptom itself. At present, no universal treatment has been found effective in all patients and options are heavily dependent on the severity and perception of the condition. Treatment might range from counseling and dietary modification to acupuncture and relaxation therapy. Optimal management techniques seek to minimize the detrimental effects on activities of daily life and might include a variety of strategies. The use of medications and surgical interventions are rarely successful.

Tinnitus masking instruments have been clinically employed for alleviating symptoms for decades. These devices are worn behind or in either the same or the opposite ear affected by tinnitus and generate a noise based on the principle of distraction. The idea being that the level of noise, usually white noise, is introduced and can reduce the contrast between the tinnitus signal and background activity in the auditory system, with a decrease in the patient's perception of their tinnitus (Vernon 1977). The characteristics and circumstances of the tinnitus
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determine the kind of masking noise and instruments that might bring relief. No side effects or significant morbidities have been reported, to date, from the use of maskers or hearing aids as treatment for tinnitus and no substantial risks of sound therapy have been demonstrated.

Tinnitus instruments such as maskers and hearing aids are approved by the Food and Drug Administration (2009) for alleviating the symptoms associated with tinnitus and are classified as a Class III device.

**Medical Technology Assessment Committee (MTAC)**

**Tinnitus Masking Devices**

**02/10/1999: MTAC Review**

**Evidence Conclusion:** *Masking:* One small randomized controlled crossover study reports no decrease in self report of tinnitus intensity but statistically significant improvement in both specific and non specific effects of masking on tinnitus. Another study of patients randomized to masking or hearing aid devices and then allowed to choose which device to continue using demonstrated that 60% chose to continue using a masking device and 20% discontinued the use of any device. *Retraining Therapy:* A single small RCT demonstrated a statistically significant reduction (1 point improvement on a 10 point visual analogue scale) in subjective tinnitus loudness and discomfort following behavioral training as compared to a no treatment control group.


The use of Tinnitus Masking Devices for treatment of tinnitus does not meet Kaiser Permanente Medical Technology Assessment Criteria.

**Tinnitus Masking Devices**

**6/17/2013: MTAC REVIEW**

**Evidence Conclusion:** Henry et al 2006 study recruited 800 US military veterans via advertisements. Following screening, 172 candidates were enrolled into the study; those not eligible were not convinced that their tinnitus was sufficiently severe or they were not motivated to comply with the study requirements. A further 49 subjects were excluded in secondary screening resulting in a total of one hundred and twenty-three patients commencing treatment. Candidates were quasi-randomly assigned to a tinnitus masking (TM) device or tinnitus retraining therapy group (TRT). The mean age in the sound therapy group was 61 (SD 9.6) and in the tinnitus retraining group it was 58.7 (SD 10.5). Baseline audiometry was performed and the Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (THI), Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire (THQ) and Tinnitus Severity Index (TSI) were administered. Both groups used a combination of noise generators, hearing aids and combination instruments. Audiometry and questionnaires were evaluated at 3, 6, 12 and 18 months. The results show that for patients with ‘moderate’ problems, sound therapy resulted in a statistically significant improvement in the THQ at six months but tinnitus retraining therapy (TRT) appeared to offer superior results. For patients who described their tinnitus as a ‘big’ problem, there was an across the board significant improvement in the three instruments at all time points except three months, which is comparable to the TRT group. Looking at the effect sizes, for sound therapy these ranged from 0.18 to 0.59 in the ‘moderate group and did not show a systematic improvement over time. For those with a ‘big’ problem, the effect sizes for sound therapy ranged from 0.46 to 0.86 and whereas the THI and TSI improved over time the THQ effect size remained unchanged. For those with a ‘very big’ problem the effect of sound therapy seemed greater at three months, with a trend of effect sizes becoming progressively smaller through 18 months. Based on effect size, both groups showed considerable improvement overall but whereas the benefits of sound therapy tended to remain constant over time, the effect of tinnitus retraining improved incrementally. Currently, the literature on maskers and/or hearing aids for the treatment of tinnitus in adults is limited. First and foremost, the lack of an established universal tool for baseline and follow-up assessment of outcome measures restricts the ability to produce valid data and make comparisons. Additionally, due to the often “off label” use of hearing aids as tinnitus treatments there has been a dearth of driving forces for undertaking large randomized controlled trials. Henry and colleague’s study demonstrates some of these limitations; although the study claims to be controlled, the two groups being investigated do not make an attempt to treat both groups similarly. Different instruments are used across the study, and even within each group, and patient contact time differs by 1.4 hours between the TM and TRT groups. In addition to these limitations, the study was quasi-randomized which allows for a greater risk of selection bias. The study also notes that the devices were more apt to break in the TRT group compared to the TM group and variation in treatment specialists for each method might result in clinician differences. While some
of the studies included in the Cochrane Review report that patients experienced a decrease in tinnitus with use of masking devices there is no conclusive evidence to validate the effectiveness. On the whole, the studies included in the review demonstrate either no or limited improvement in tinnitus perception. Furthermore, the quality of the studies are, generally, low. With several different devices employed throughout the studies and marked methodological heterogeneity including numerous measures of evaluation of tinnitus severity and outcome all with different scores, scales, tests and questionnaires, comparisons and further analysis are complicated. Small sample sizes also contribute to the low quality leading to the inability to generalize findings.

Conclusions: Although some patients report a decrease in tinnitus with the use of masking devices, there is no conclusive evidence from randomized trials to demonstrate effectiveness. The limited data from the included studies show that sound therapy on its own is of unproven benefit in the treatment of tinnitus, although the effect may be better than placebo. Thus far, no adverse outcomes or significant morbidity from using sound-generating (masking) devices have been reported, and furthermore, the literature is unable to demonstrate any substantial risks.


The use of Tinnitus Masking Devices for treatment of tinnitus does not meet Kaiser Permanente Medical Technology Assessment Criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Created</th>
<th>Date Reviewed</th>
<th>Date Last Revised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/1998</td>
<td>04/04/2011 MPC, 02/07/2012 MDCRPC, 12/04/2012 MDCRPC, 08/06/2013 MPC, 06/03/2014 MPC, 04/07/2015 MPC, 02/02/2016 MPC, 12/06/2016 MPC, 10/03/2017 MPC</td>
<td>08/06/2013 MPC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDCRPC Medical Director Clinical Review and Policy Committee
MPC Medical Policy Committee

Revision History | Description
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Codes
CPT: 92626; 92627; 92630; 92633
With diagnosis ICD9 codes: 38830; 38831; 38832;
With diagnosis ICD10 codes: H93.11; H93.12, H93.13; H93.19