Clinical Review Criteria
Proton Radiation Therapy

Kaiser Permanente Clinical Review Criteria are developed to assist in administering plan benefits. These criteria neither offer medical advice nor guarantee coverage. Kaiser Permanente reserves the exclusive right to modify, revoke, suspend or change any or all of these Review Criteria, at Kaiser Permanente’s sole discretion, at any time, with or without notice. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the patient’s Medical Coverage Agreement or call Kaiser Permanente Customer Service to determine coverage for a specific medical service.

Criteria
For Medicare Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMS Coverage Manuals</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Coverage Determinations (NCD)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Coverage Determinations (LCD)</td>
<td>Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS) and Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT) (L34151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Coverage Article</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Non-Medicare Members
Kaiser Permanente has elected to use the Proton Beam Therapy (KP-0389) MCG* for medical necessity determinations.

*MCG Manuals are proprietary and cannot be published and/or distributed. However, on an individual member basis, Kaiser Permanente can share a copy of the specific criteria document used to make a utilization management decision. If one of your patients is being reviewed using these criteria, you may request a copy of the criteria by calling the Kaiser Permanente Clinical Review staff at 1-800-289-1363.

For Seattle Cancer Care Alliance (SCCA) members: See SCCA policy

If requesting this service, please send the following documentation to support medical necessity:
- Most recent medical oncology notes
- Most recent radiation oncology notes
- Most recent imaging (i.e. CT/MRI)

The following information was used in the development of this document and is provided as background only. It is not to be used as coverage criteria. Please only refer to the criteria listed above for coverage determinations.

Background
Proton beam therapy (PBT) is a form of stereotactic radiosurgery that delivers a focused dose of radiation energy to the targeted area while surrounding normal tissue receives minimal radiation. PBT releases its highest percentage of energy at the end of its path (i.e., Bragg peak), depositing 100% of the dosage at the targeted tissue.

Prostate cancer is one of the most common cancers, and the second leading cause of cancer death in men in the US. The standard management options for a localized disease include surgery, radiotherapy, and watchful waiting. The optimal treatment however, is not well defined; both surgery and radiation therapy are reported to have equivalent outcomes, and each approach has its advantages and side effects. Researchers have reported that for intermediate and high risk disease, radical external beam treatment is the standard treatment, and that there is a dose response for biochemical relapse-free survival. The success of radiation therapy depends on the dose delivered to the tumor and the accuracy of delivery. However, dose escalation to >70 Gy is associated with an increase in genitourinary and gastrointestinal side effects. Several techniques have been developed to deliver higher doses of radiation to the prostate while sparing surrounding normal tissue. Among these are the three dimensional conformal radiotherapy external beam radiotherapy (EBRT), intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT), brachytherapy, and proton therapy (Vordermark 2006, Hoskin 2007, Rades 2007).
Proton therapy, like other forms of radiotherapy, works by aiming ionizing particles onto the target tumor. Theoretically proton radiation therapy has the benefit of more localized delivery of radiotherapy than that achieved with photons produced by a linear accelerator. Unlike X-ray beams, a single proton beam can be shaped to deliver a homogeneous radiation dose to irregular three dimensional volumes. Due to their relatively large size, protons scatter less easily in the tissue with very little lateral dispersion. They follow a predetermined track and stop abruptly at any prescribed depth. The proton beam energy is at its minimum at entry to the body, and maximum, known as 'Bragg-peak', near the end of the range of the proton beam. Beyond the Bragg-peak, the dose falls practically to zero. By choosing appropriate proton beam energies, the depth of the Bragg-peak can be adjusted according to the depth and extent of the target volume. The improved dose distribution can potentially allow higher doses of radiotherapy to the tumor without increasing the normal tissue toxicity (Slater 1999, Brada 2007, Olsen 2007). There is a concern however, that proton beam radiotherapy exposes healthy tissue to stray radiation emitted from the treatment unit and secondary radiation produced within the patient. These exposures may potentially increase a patient’s risk of developing a radiogenic second cancer (Taddei 2008).

Proton therapy was initially used for the treatment of choroidal malignant melanomas, and tumors of the skull base. Currently there is a growing interest in the use of proton therapy for the treatment of tumors where conventional radiation therapy would damage surrounding radiosensitive tissues to an unacceptable level as brain tumors, lung cancers, and other tumors in the neck, vicinity of the spinal cord, liver, upper abdomen and pelvis. Proton therapy is also favored for pediatric patients where long-term side effects, as occurrence of secondary tumors resulting from overall radiation dose to the body, are of concern.

Some investigators have questioned the ability of proton therapy to limit morbidity, and others have questioned its value relative to the cost. In addition, concerns have been raised about a potential risk for secondary malignancies.

**National Cancer Institute Clinical Trials**

Two Phase III trials are comparing photon versus carbon ion radiation therapy in patients with low and intermediate grade chondrosarcoma of the skull base ([NCT01182753](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01182753)) and chordoma of the skull base ([NCT01182779](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01182779)).

A Phase III trial is comparing hypofractionated proton radiation versus standard dose for prostate cancer ([NCT01230866](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01230866)).

**National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines**

**Prostate Cancer**: NCCN guidelines for [prostate cancer](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf) (v 3.2012) state that “proton beam therapy can be added as an alternative radiation sources. However, proton therapy is not recommended for routine use at this time since clinical trials have not yet yielded data that demonstrates superiority to, or equivalence of, proton beam and conventional external beam for the treatment of prostate cancer”. (1)

**Bone Cancer**: NCCN guideline for [bone cancer](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/bone.pdf) (v 2.2012) states that “proton and/or photon beam RT may be useful for patients with chondrosarcomas of the skull base and axial skeleton with tumors in unfavorable location not amenable to resection.” (3)

The FDA cleared several medical devices designed to produce and deliver a proton beam for the treatment of patients with localized tumors and other conditions susceptible to treatment by radiation.

**Medical Technology Assessment Committee (MTAC)**

**Proton Radiation Therapy**

**12/01/2008: MTAC REVIEW**

**Evidence Conclusion**: No randomized clinical trials, to date, have directly compared the efficacy of protons and conventional radiation therapy using photons in the treatment of clinically localized prostate cancer. The only two published RCTs involving proton therapy were evaluating the effect of dose escalation on cancer control. Both studies used protons as a boost to photon irradiation, and neither was intended to compare the efficacy of protons versus the conventional photon radiation therapy. Zietman et al’s (2005) trial randomized 393 patients with early stage (T1B-T2B) prostate cancer to a proton dose of 19.8 GyE or 28.8 GyE followed by photon irradiation to 50.4 Gy. All patients in the two arms of the study received both photons and protons. The results showed no significant difference in 5-year survival (96% vs. 97%) between the two proton doses, but there was an improvement in 5-year biochemical total control rate from 61.4% for the low-dose group to 80.4% to the high dose
The use of Proton radiation therapy for the treatment of prostate cancer does not meet the Kaiser Permanente Medical Technology Assessment Criteria.
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