Clinical Review Criteria
Injectable Poly-L-Lactic Acid (PLA) for Facial Lipoatrophy Sculptra

NOTICE: Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington and Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington Options, Inc., provide these Clinical Review Criteria for internal use by their members and health care providers. The Clinical Review Criteria only apply to Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington and Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington Options, Inc. Use of the Clinical Review Criteria or any Kaiser Permanente entity name, logo, trade name, trademark, or service mark for marketing or publicity purposes, including on any website, or in any press release or promotional material, is strictly prohibited.

Kaiser Permanente Clinical Review Criteria are developed to assist in administering plan benefits. These criteria neither offer medical advice nor guarantee coverage. Kaiser Permanente reserves the exclusive right to modify, revoke, suspend or change any or all of these Review Criteria, at Kaiser Permanente’s sole discretion, at any time, with or without notice. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the patient's Evidence of Coverage or call Kaiser Permanente Customer Service to determine coverage for a specific medical service.

Criteria
For Medicare Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMS Coverage Manuals</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Coverage Determinations (NCD)</td>
<td>Dermal Injections for the Treatment of Facial Lipodystrophy Syndrome (LDS) (250.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Coverage Determinations (LCD)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Coverage Article</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Non-Medicare Members

There is insufficient evidence in the published medical literature to show that this service/therapy is as safe as standard services/therapies and/or provides better long-term outcomes than current standard services/therapies. In addition, this service is considered cosmetic and therefore excluded in all contracts.

The following information was used in the development of this document and is provided as background only. It is not to be used as coverage criteria. Please only refer to the criteria listed above for coverage determinations.

Background

HIV-associated lipodystrophy has been reported in the literature starting in the late 1990s. This condition involves loss of subcutaneous fat or fat accumulations in particular regions of the body. It can include fat accumulation around the abdomen, dorsocervical area (buffalo hump) and breast hypertrophy. Regions affected by fat loss (lipoatrophy) include the limbs, buttocks and face, especially the nasolabial regions, the temples and the eye sockets. The condition is different from HIV wasting syndrome that is mainly due to loss of muscle mass. HIV-associated lipodystrophy is also associated with insulin resistance, hyperglycemia and low levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) (James et al., 2002).

Although the cause of HIV-associated lipodystrophy is not well understood, some investigators believe there is a link with HIV protease inhibitors (PI). The condition started being reported in the literature around the time that protease inhibitors were introduced and prescribed to HIV-infected patients. In addition, the prevalence of lipodystrophy is higher in HIV-infected patients who received PIs compared to PI-naïve patients (James et al., 2002). Lipoatrophy may be associated with the use of specific nucleosides such as stavudine and didanosine in treatment while lipoaccumulation may be associated with protease inhibitors, especially ritonavir (Dr. Wayne Dodge, personal communication).

The treatment of facial lipoatrophy is the subject of the current MTAC review. There is little published literature on this topic, but anecdotal information suggests that facial lipoatrophy negatively affects HIV-infected individuals’ body image and self-esteem and can lead to social and sexual problems. The long-term natural history of lipoatrophy is also not well known. Lipoatrophy does not appear to resolve on its own, or after discontinuation of PIs and other medication (James et al., 2002; Huff, 2004).

Sculptra, an injectable form of poly-L-lactic acid (PLA) is the first FDA-approved treatment for HIV-associated facial lipoatrophy. PLA is a biocompatible, biodegradable substance that is synthetically derived from natural components. It was been used in surgical products such as dissolvable stitches and bone screws.
approved in Europe in 1999 for cosmetic treatment of scars and wrinkles, under the brand name New-Fill. The FDA did not approve Sculptra for the treatment of wrinkles. FDA approval of Sculptra for facial lipoatrophy was based on unpublished data submitted by the manufacturer Dermik Laboratories. A condition of FDA approval was that Dermik agreed to conduct a registry study for five years to evaluate Sculptra's long-term safety (FDA press release; James et al., 2002). Potential limitations of injectable PLA for severe cases of facial lipoatrophy are that large quantities of material are needed to fill the defects and there may be high maintenance costs (Binder & Bloom, 2004).
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Evidence Conclusion: There was one randomized controlled trial with 30 patients (Moyle, 2004) and this compared immediate treatment with PLA to delayed treatment after 12 weeks. The 12-week follow-up is the appropriate point in the study to compare treatment with no treatment. At 12 weeks, there were no significant differences between groups in depression or anxiety scores. A significantly greater proportion of patients in the immediate treatment group perceived “less thinness” in the face. The study was limited by the short follow-up period, small sample size with no statistical power analysis and lack of clear primary outcomes. The other empirical study reviewed was a case series with 50 patients (Valentin, 2003). Although there was no comparison group, advantages of the Valentin study were that there was objective measurement of changes in facial thickness and follow-up was longer, 96 weeks. There was a significant increase in total cutaneous thickness (TCT) of the face after a series of treatments with PLA and the increase in TCT persisted until the 96-week follow-up. There was a significant increase in the quality of life score compared to baseline at the 24- and 48 weeks follow-ups, but not at the 72- or 96-week follow-ups. No serious adverse effects were reported in either study. Safety and efficacy beyond 96 weeks is not known. The generalizeability of Valentin study has been criticized because one dermatologist performed all of the injections; it is not known whether there would be similar results with other dermatologists. In summary, there is some evidence from an uncontrolled case series that treatment with Sculptra can reduce facial lipoatrophy for up to 96 weeks and has no serious adverse effects, when used by a trained dermatologist. There are no good data from controlled studies. The impact on quality of life is less clear. There are no published data on safety and efficacy of Sculptra beyond 96 weeks.

Articles: The search yielded 10 articles. Several were reviews or opinion pieces. Three empirical studies were identified. The ideal study would have the following characteristics: Randomized controlled trial, Comparison of Sculptra to alternative treatment, or placebo, Long-term follow-up, Sufficiently large sample size, Important outcomes include whether treatment with Sculptra is effective at increasing facial fat and reduces any adverse psychosocial effects. In this case, there is no standard alternate treatment and no other FDA-approved new treatments for HIV-associated facial lipoatrophy. No placebo-controlled studies were identified. There was one randomized controlled trial that compared immediate treatment with PLA to delayed treatment. There was also a case series with 96 weeks' follow-up. Case series can provide important long-term safety data. The RCT and case series were critically appraised. Both used New-Fill, the European version of PLA. The third empirical study was a case report presenting data on 4 patients and was excluded from review. The following studies were critically appraised: Valantin M-A Aubron-Olivier C, Ghosn J et al. Polylactic acid implants (New-Fill) to correct facial lipoatrophy in HIV-infected patients: results of the open-label study VEGA. AIDS 2003; 17: 2471-2477. See Evidence Table. Moyle GJ, Lysakova L, Brown S et al. A randomized open-label study of immediate versus delayed polylactic acid injections for the cosmetic management of facial lipoatrophy in persons with HIV infection. HIV Medicine 2004; 5: 82-87. See Evidence Table.

The use of injectable poly-L-lactic acid (PLA) in the treatment of facial lipoatrophy does not meet the Kaiser Permanente Medical Technology Assessment Criteria.
### Codes

HCPCS: C9800, G0429, Q2028