Clinical Review Criteria

Osteogenic (Bone) Stimulators

- Non-invasive Electrical Stimulators
- Implantable Electric Stimulators
- Ultrasonic Stimulators

NOTICE: Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington and Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington Options, Inc., provide these Clinical Review Criteria for internal use by their members and health care providers. The Clinical Review Criteria only apply to Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington and Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington Options, Inc. Use of the Clinical Review Criteria or any Kaiser Permanente entity name, logo, trade name, trademark, or service mark for marketing or publicity purposes, including on any website, or in any press release or promotional material, is strictly prohibited.

Kaiser Permanente Clinical Review Criteria are developed to assist in administering plan benefits. These criteria neither offer medical advice nor guarantee coverage. Kaiser Permanente reserves the exclusive right to modify, revoke, suspend or change any or all of these Review Criteria, at Kaiser Permanente’s sole discretion, at any time, with or without notice. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the patient’s Medical Coverage Agreement or call Kaiser Permanente Customer Service to determine coverage for a specific medical service.

Criteria

For Medicare Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMS Coverage Manuals</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Coverage Determinations (NCD)</td>
<td>Osteogenic Stimulators (150.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Coverage Determinations (LCD)</td>
<td>Osteogenesis Stimulators (L33796)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Coverage Article</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Non-Medicare Members

Electric Bone Growth Stimulators (Non-invasive and Implantable)
Kaiser Permanente has elected to use the Bone Growth Stimulators, Electrical and Electromagnetic (A-0565) MCG* for medical necessity determinations.

Ultrasonic Bone Growth Stimulators
Kaiser Permanente has elected to use the Bone Growth Stimulators, Ultrasonic (A-0414) MCG* for medical necessity determinations.

*MCG manuals are proprietary and cannot be published and/or distributed. However, on an individual member basis, Kaiser Permanente can share a copy of the specific criteria document used to make a utilization management decision. If one of your patients is being reviewed using these criteria, you may request a copy of the criteria by calling the Kaiser Permanente Clinical Review staff at 1-800-289-1363.

If requesting these services, please send the following documentation to support medical necessity:

- Last 6 months of clinical notes from requesting provider &/or specialist (Orthopedics/podiatry)
- Copies of last 12 months of x-rays of involved area

The following information was used in the development of this document and is provided as background only. It is not to be used as coverage criteria. Please only refer to the criteria listed above for coverage determinations.

Background

Electrical stimulation has been used as treatment for nonunion of fractures since the early 1950’s with a reported success rate of 80-85%. New devices have made the use of this method of treatment more attractive. Bone Stimulators are covered in Kaiser Permanente plans that include coverage for durable medical equipment. The criteria for coverage had previously been part of the Durable Medical Equipment Formulary. The average contracted cost of the device is $3,000. Because of the renewed attention on this mode of treatment by Kaiser Permanente orthopedists, the referral management staff requested that clearer criteria be developed for reviewing coverage requests (1/97).

Fracture healing is a highly complex biological process. The healing process is delayed in approximately 10% of the 6 million fractures that occur annually in the United States. A portion of these delayed unions do not heal by 9
Electrical stimulation has been found to offer a reasonable means of treatment for nonunion that have failed to respond to previous bone grafting over an extended period of time. The effective use of electrical stimulation devices requires an understanding of the various principles and concepts employed by the four types of stimulators currently available. While the exact mechanism of electrically-induced osteogenesis is uncertain, current theories indicate that several factors probably are involved and more than one mechanism may be responsible.

Ultrasound, a form of mechanical energy that is transmitted through and into biological tissues, has a variety of diagnostic and therapeutic clinical applications. Research on the use of ultrasound to accelerate the healing of fractures has been done largely using animal models. For example, a study with rabbits found that bones exposed to ultrasound healed in about half the time as untreated bones. Data from animal models suggest that ultrasound may accelerate healing by increasing the blood flow at the fracture site (Rubin, 2001).

Exogen (Smith and Nephew) manufacturers a low-intensity ultrasound device for treating fractures, Sonic Accelerated Fracture Healing System (SAFHS). According to the manufacture, the SAFHS system is a portable, battery-operated device that produces ultrasonic waves of 30 milliwatts per cm² (comparable to ultrasound intensity levels used on sonograms for fetal monitoring). Patients apply the ultrasound waves directly to the fracture site.

The FDA approved the use of low-intensity ultrasound for fresh fractures in 1994 based on two randomized controlled trials and Exogen’s registry data. In 2000, the FDA extended the use of ultrasound to treating established non-unions.

Medical Technology Assessment Committee (MTAC)

Ultrasonic Bone Stimulator

10/10/2001: MTAC REVIEW

Evidence Conclusion: Fresh fractures: Two of the RCTs (Heckman, Kristiansen) were conducted by some of the same investigators. Both found a significantly shorter time to healing for fractures in patients treated with an ultrasonic bone stimulator healed than those treated with a placebo device. Both studies had similar methodological flaws, the most serious of which was that neither study had a primary intention to treat analysis and about 30% of fractures were not included in the analysis. Both studies include a brief description of a secondary intention-to-treat analysis which found statistically significant differences between the ultrasonic bone stimulation and placebo groups; no point estimates, tables or figures were included to support these analyses. Both studies were funded by Exogen and included co-authored by an Exogen employee who could bias the study design and analysis. A third RCT was conducted by investigators without financial ties to Exogen. That study did not find a significant difference in time to radiographic healing between patients receiving ultrasonic bone stimulation versus placebo. This was a small study which may not have had sufficient statistical power to detect a difference if one existed. The threats to validity in the RCTs limit the ability to draw conclusions about the effect of ultrasonic bone stimulation on health outcomes among patients with fresh fractures. Non-union fractures: There were no published articles to evaluate the efficacy of ultrasound treatment to heal non-union fractures.

Articles: The search yielded 35 articles. Articles that were opinion pieces, editorials, reviews or on technical aspects of the treatment of fractures with ultrasound were not reviewed. There were 3 RCTs on the use of ultrasound with fresh fractures. Evidence tables were created for these 3 RCTs. There were no published articles on non-union fractures. There was one published abstract by Gebauer, but insufficient information was given in the abstract to evaluate it as evidence. Citations for the RCTs reviewed: Emami A, Petren-Mallmin M, Larsson S. No effect of low-intensity ultrasound on healing time of intramedullary fixed tibial fractures. J Orthop Trauma 1999; 13: 252-7. See Evidence Table. Kristiansen TK, Ryabi JP, McCabe J, Frey JJ, Roe LR. Accelerated healing of distal radial fractures with the use of specific, low-intensity ultrasound. J Bone Joint Surg 1997; 79-A: 961-73. See Evidence Table. Heckman JD, Ryaby JP, McCabe J, Frey JJ, Kilcoyne RF. Acceleration of tibial fracture-healing by non-invasive low-intensity pulsed ultrasound. J Bone Joint Surg 1994; 76-A: 26-34. See Evidence Table

The use of Ultrasonic Bone Stimulator for treatment of fresh and non-union fractures has been approved by the FDA and therefore meets Kaiser Permanente Medical Technology Assessment Criteria.
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**Codes**

Ultrasonic CPT: 20979 HCPCS: E0760  
Electric CPT: 20974, 20975 HCPCS: E0747, E0748, E0749